

October 1, 2004

U.S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration Attn: Esther Johnson, Room S-5206 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20210

Dear Ms. Johnson:

Enclosed please find three copies of the State of Idaho's WIA Annual Report for PY 2003 as per Training and Employment and Guidance Letter (TEGL) No. 14-03.

The enclosures include the evaluation narrative and cost relative to effect narrative (Return on Investment-ROI). These have also been submitted electronically as prescribed by TEGL 14-03. The required Annual Report Data for PY 03 (Tables A through O) have been submitted electronically via the EIMS. A hard copy of the Report Data is also included with the enclosed Annual Report.

Please feel free to contact Rico Barrera if you have any questions regarding this report. You may contact Rico at (208) 332-3570, ext 3316.

Sincerely.

Chervl A. Brush

Workforce Systems Bureau Chief

**Enclosure** 

## WIA Title I Report for the State of Idaho Part A. Return on Investment

Idaho's "Return on Investment" provides the required analysis of our workforce investment activities relative to the effect of the activities on the performance of the participants. Reviewing the level of investment (taxpayers' dollars) vs. the return on that investment (participant gains in wages, taxes, reduced public assistance) provides another look at the success of the programs beyond the required performance standards.

For each of our major programs, these demonstrate a positive impact on the community resulting from participation in the program. For individuals enrolled in the Adult program, \$3.12 is returned to the community for each dollar spent and the investment is returned by the participant within twelve months. For dislocated workers, the investment is returned in ten months with those leaving the program returning \$4.26 to the community for each dollar invested.

Youth, particularly younger youth, are less likely to be directed toward immediate employment upon completion. A primary goal for these at-risk youth is to encourage them to return to school or to assist them in continuing their education. In recognition of these goals, we have considered future impact resulting from continued participation in education through high school and beyond for younger youth. Impact for older youth who are employment directed is computed in the same manner as adults and dislocated workers. The results of our analysis demonstrate that investments in youth are repaid within 17.3 months and youth are expected to return \$2.08 to the community for each dollar invested in their training.

### **IDAHO**

### Adult ~ Program Year 2003 Summary at a Glance

Increased Income Tax Contributions (State & Federal)	\$1,318,704
Increased FICA payments	\$1,094,807
Reduced Public Assistance Dependency	\$842,880
TOTAL ANNUAL TAXPAYER BENEFIT	\$3,256,391
Monthly Taxpayer Benefit	\$271,366
ADULT PROGRAM COST (Expenditures, PY 2003)	\$2,736,711
Number of months to pay back Taxpayer Investment	10.1

### IMPACT OF WIA INVESTMENT Adult ~ Program Year 2003 Summary at a Glance

Annual Increased Net Earnings of Participants	\$5,289,495
Annual Increase in FICA Contributions	\$1,094,807
Annual Increase in Federal Income Tax Payments	\$910,129
Annual Increase in State Income Tax Payments	\$408,575
Annual Decrease in Public Assistance	\$842,880
TOTAL ANNUAL IMPACT	\$8,545,886
ADULT PROGRAM COST (Expenditures, PY 2003)	\$2,736,711

Overall Impact of Investment (Impact divided by Program Cost)
Investment Impact
\$1.00 \$3.12

### **IDAHO**

### Dislocated Worker ~ Program Year 2003 Summary at a Glance

Increased Income Tax Contributions (State & Federal)	\$2,957,781
Increased FICA payments	\$2,414,033
Reduced Public Assistance Dependency	\$194,400
TOTAL ANNUAL TAXPAYER BENEFIT	\$5,566,214
Monthly Taxpayer Benefit	\$463,851
D.W. PROGRAM COST (DW, Rapid Response, State 15% Expenditures, PY 2003)	\$4,028,301
Number of months to pay back Taxpayer Investment	8.7

### IMPACT OF WIA INVESTMENT Dislocated Worker ~ Program Year 2003 Summary at a Glance

Annual Increased Net Earnings of Participants	\$11,613,195
Annual Increase in FICA Contributions	\$2,414,033
Annual Increase in Federal Income Tax Payments	\$2,038,998
Annual Increase in State Income Tax Payments	\$918,783
Annual Decrease in Public Assistance	\$194,400
TOTAL ANNUAL IMPACT	\$17,179,409
D.W. PROGRAM COST (DW, Rapid Response, State 15%	\$4,028,301
Expenditures, PY 2003)	94,028,301

Overall Impact of Investment (Impact divided by Program Cost)
Investment Impact
\$1.00 \$4.26

### **IDAHO**

### Youth ~ Program Year 2003 Summary at a Glance

Increased Income Tax Contributions (State & Federal)	\$1,169,594
Increased FICA payments	\$898,286
Reduced Public Assistance Dependency	\$115,996
TOTAL ANNUAL TAXPAYER BENEFIT	\$2,183,876
Monthly Taxpayer Benefit	\$181,990
YOUTH PROGRAM COST (Program Expenditures, PY 2003)	\$3,152,891
Number of months to pay back Taxpayer Investment	17.3

### IMPACT OF WIA INVESTMENT Youth ~ Program Year 2003 Summary at a Glance

Annual Increased Net Earnings of Participants	\$4,384,131
Annual Increase in FICA Contributions	\$898,286
Annual Increase in Federal Income Tax Payments	\$779,743
Annual Increase in State Income Tax Payments	\$389,851
Annual Decrease in Public Assistance	\$115,996
TOTAL ANNUAL IMPACT	\$6,568,007
YOUTH PROGRAM COST (Program Expenditures, PY 2003)	\$3,152,891

Overall Impact of Investment (Impact divided by Program Cost)
Investment Impact
\$1.00 \$2.08

# Return on Investment BACKGROUND

Each person who applies for WIA services completes an application that supplies information on employment status, cash welfare and/or food stamps recipient status, number in the family and number of dependents. Unemployment insurance records are also accessed for pre-program wage information. This information constitutes the raw data used as pre-program information.

Upon completion of the training, information is recorded on each individual regarding his or her employment status and earnings. This information constitutes post-program data.

For younger youth whose goal is not immediate employment, pre-program information is based on the mean income of those with less than a high school education as reported in the most current CPS survey. Post-program information is the mean income for high school graduates from the same survey. While this does not report actual income, it is used as an indicator of future program impact.

The raw data collected at these points is used to project and compute employment rate, net (take home) pay of the employed, FICA and federal and state income tax contributions and public assistance costs. By comparing pre-program and post-program data, we can reasonably determine the benefit of the program compared to the cost of the program.

#### METHODOLOGY

Federal and state income taxes paid are calculated by using federal and state tax tables, based on average income, average family size, and the most frequently occurring filing status of participants. Increased tax contributions are derived from subtracting pre-program contributions from post-program contributions.

Annual public assistance costs are calculated by multiplying the number of cash welfare recipients by the maximum monthly welfare grant times twelve; Food Stamp costs are calculated by multiplying the number of food stamp recipients by the average monthly food stamp amount times twelve. Decreased public assistance costs were derived by determining whether an individual who was on assistance at intake was working more than 25 hours a week at \$6 per hour. If so, s/he would not have qualified for cash assistance, so the maximum monthly benefit as of July 1, 2004, for both cash assistance and food stamp assistance was counted as savings.

FICA contributions are calculated to be 15.3 percent of gross earnings.

Net (take home) earnings of the employed are calculated by computing the annual gross income and subtracting employee FICA and income taxes. Pre-program earnings are based on earnings reported in unemployment insurance records; post-program earnings are based on employment data collected at program exit.

### **Taxpayer Return on Investment**

The Taxpayer Return on Investment represents the rate of return of taxpayer dollars, through increased tax contributions and decreased welfare costs.

To calculate the Taxpayer Return on Investment, the Total Annual Benefit is divided by twelve to produce a Monthly Taxpayer Benefit. The Program Cost is then divided by the Monthly Taxpayer Benefit to calculate the number of months it takes to pay back the taxpayer investment in WIA for the year in question.

### **IMPACT OF INVESTMENT**

This is a measure of the program's overall benefit to the community.

When calculating the Impact of Investment, net earnings of the participants are included, as well as the increased tax contributions and decreased welfare costs. Even though these earnings are not directly returned to the taxpayer, they represent a measure of increased productivity.

#### **ASSUMPTIONS**

The ideal calculation of the return on WIA investments would contain raw data on individual participants for an entire year prior and subsequent to participation in WIA. The cost of collecting such data, however, would far outweigh the benefit of doing so. As such, these calculations rely on a number of assumptions about the data and about pre- and post-program conditions of participants. These are identified below:

In general, it is assumed that the following data, collected during program intake and exit as well as from unemployment wage records, remained constant for the entire year prior and subsequent to program participation, respectively:

**Earnings** 

Employed/Unemployed Status

**Public Assistance Grant Amounts** 

Family Size

#### **Other Assumptions:**

Using the average income, average family size, and most frequently occurring filing status to calculate tax contributions will yield a representative, yet conservative, estimate for the individuals being counted.

Individuals are receiving all public assistance benefits for which they are eligible both prior and subsequent to program participation.

#### OTHER TAXPAYER BENEFITS

The benefits reflected in these calculations include only a portion of those actually accruing to the taxpayer for these programs. Other welfare costs associated with Medicaid, subsidized housing, and Supplemental Security Income, for example, will generate significant savings if no longer received by program completers. Reduced Unemployment Insurance costs may generate additional savings. These measures also reflect increased tax contributions only for state and federal income taxes, without the inclusion of local and sales taxes. Information needed to calculate these related savings, however, is either not available or cost-prohibitive to produce.

### Part B. Evaluation of Activities

### **IDAHO'S FOCUS FOR WIA**

The Governor's Workforce Development Council and local *IdahoWorks* Boards have provided the necessary direction to successfully implement WIA programs throughout the state. Idaho met all federally established statewide performance goals for WIA for PY 2003, primarily due to the efforts and leadership of the Council and *IdahoWorks* Boards.

### **CHALLENGE**

Features that make Idaho unique also bring challenges, and for WIA there is no exception. Idaho is predominantly rural, with a large geographical area and a population of 1.3 million. By its very nature, stretching programs with limited funding is difficult.

### **EVALUATIONS**

Idaho was awarded an incentive grant award under the Workforce Investment Act based on achieving performance standards in Adult Education, Carl Perkins and WIA Title I. The award was created to support innovative workforce development and education activities authorized under Title I or Title II (The Adult Education and Family Literacy Act) of WIA, or under the Carl Perkins Act.

As part of this incentive award, the Workforce Development Council made funds available to the local workforce investment areas to improve services to business. The Workforce Development Council approved proposals in all six areas of the state. Although all areas did not achieve the results as expected, the experiments did yield models that can be extended statewide to improve business services.

Region I (North Idaho) developed a targeted marketing and outreach campaign to connect business to the One Stop system. The One Stop system is currently being marketed to area employers using products developed during the campaign.

Region I joined Region II in supporting the Business mentor-protégé initiative. The regions found the initiative to be very successful. Training has been provided to One Stop Centers to allow statewide deployment of the business relations group concept.

Region II (North Central Idaho) created a customer-service training program for rural areas of the region, as well as a business library to be used by entrepreneurs in the region. The customer service project focused on training various hospitality industry staff in the region in a one-day, six-hour training, in anticipation of state visitors celebrating the bicentennial of the Lewis and Clark expedition. The grant also helped to develop an interlibrary loan program specifically targeted for business users in the region.

Region III (Southwestern Idaho) convened health care industry representatives to facilitate a dialogue on employer labor force needs. After surveying all area hospitals, non-degreed positions emerged as those requiring additional training. Competencies were identified, becoming the basis for a curriculum for the training. The effort also produced a model for establishing the business-industry consortia. With success in the health care industry, the region has implemented a similar process with the construction industry.

Region IV (South Central Idaho) had multiple targets. Region IV marketed and tested response to the College of Southern Idaho's WorkKeys assessment and computerized training program to develop skills of incumbent workers. The region also tested a project to demonstrate the value of Older Workers to the employer community. The region also conducted an extensive employer survey across eight industry sectors that will serve as a model to be implemented statewide.

Region V (Southeast Idaho) developed distance-learning courses for an Associate of Science Degree as a Registered Nurse (ADN) at Idaho State University to address their vital rural health care needs. ISU matched the funds offered through the grant allowing development of courses for an ADN that will be offered in the spring of 2005.

Region VI (East Central Idaho) conducted an audit of workforce skill shortages and business needs in the region. Using the CIS skill set as a foundation, it showed that soft-skills, especially the ability to communicate with others, were those skills that employers most wanted. As a result of the audit, the region is developing a CNA program for ESL students to aid in meeting rural health care needs.

### Policy Academy

Idaho conducted a major survey of workforce programs and services under the auspices of the Governor's 21<sup>st</sup> Century Workforce Policy Academy. The survey identified programs, funding, and the policy and performance framework for all workforce programs in the state. The results will be published early in 2005 with the expectation that findings will be considered by the Workforce Development Council as they consider their strategic plan.

### **Table Section**

**Table A - Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results** 

Customer	Negotiated	Actual	Number of	Number of
Satisfaction	Performance Level	Performance Level –	Customers Surveyed	Customers Eligible for the
		American Customer Satisfaction Index		Survey
Program Participants	80%	85.2	1,474	1,942
Employers	78%	85.5	25	30

Table B – Adult Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
Entered Employment Rate	76.00%	89.27%	316 354
Employment Retention Rate	84.00%	88.91%	441 496
Earnings Replacement in Six Months	\$3,475.00	\$3,967.66	\$1,733,866 435
Employment And Credential Rate	55.00%	72.44%	297 410

Table C – Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information	Public As Recip Receiving Or Training	ients Intensive	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals	
Entered Employment Rate	81.82%	18 22	90.00%	27 30	83.67%	41 50	85.37%	35 41
Employment Retention Rate	74.07%	20 27	82.22%	37 45	83.58%	56 67	80.00%	32 40
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$4,077.67	\$97,864 24	\$880.29	\$35,212 40	\$2,275.40	\$127,423 56	\$1,696.52	\$53,464 37
Employment And Credential Rate	65.00%	13 20	88.89%	24 27	65.91%	29 44	62.96%	17 27

Table D – Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information	Received	als Who I Training vices	Individuals Who Received Only Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate	89.89%	240 267	87.36%	76 87	
Employment Retention Rate	89.57%	352 393	86.41%	89 103	
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$4,494.85	\$1,559,715 347	\$1,935.02	\$174,152 90	

Table E – Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
Entered Employment Rate	85.00%	93.14%	815 875
Employment Retention Rate	90.00%	93.48%	774 828
Earnings Replacement in Six Months	88.00	85.43%	\$8,364,347 \$9,790,495
Employment And Credential Rate	55.00%	73.22%	484 661

**Table F – Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations** 

Reported Information	Vet	erans	Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals		Displaced Homemakers	
Entered Employment Rate	90.23%	120 133	92.16%	47 51	83.67%	82 98	81.25%	26 32
Employment Retention Rate	91.06%	112 123	96.08%	49 51	91.67%	66 72	84.85%	28 33
Earnings Replacement Rate	87.33%	\$1,244,228 \$1,424,765	81.86	\$391,332 \$478,031	73.72%	\$593,476 \$805,014	330.07%	\$212,428 \$64,358
Employment And Credential Rate	74.19%	69 93	64.71%	22 34	70.15%	47 67	60.87%	14 23

Table G – Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services		Received O	als Who nly Core and Services
Entered Employment Rate	93.49%	618 661	92.06%	197 214
Employment Retention Rate	93.86%	550 586	92.56%	224 242
Earnings Replacement Rate	85.17%	\$5,942,592 \$6,977,022	86.08%	\$2,421,755 \$2,813,474

Table H - Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level		
Entered Employment Rate	68.00%	88.51%	77 87	
Employment Retention Rate	80.00%	90.53%	86 95	
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$3,000.00	\$2,881.02	\$221,838 77	
Employment & Credential Rate	43.00%	56.43%	79 140	

Table I – Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Entered Employment Rate	100.00%	1	0.00%	0	85.71%	12 14	80.60%	54 67
Employment Retention Rate	100.00%	5 5	0.00%	0 0	100.00%	12 12	87.67%	64 73
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$5,051.83	\$15,156 3	\$0.00	\$0 0	\$1,689.44	\$15,205 9	\$2,097.07	\$123,727 59
Credential Rate	100.00%	1	0.00%	<u> </u>	45.00%	9 20	53.64%	59 110

Table J – Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	5		ial ce Level
Skill Attainment Rate	82.00%	94.51%	688 728
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	60.00%	79.32%	211 266
Retention Rate	60.00%	79.85	210 263

**Table K – Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations** 

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Skill Attainment Rate	96.08%	49 51	96.17%	251 261	90.71%	166 183
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	70.59%	12 17	87.91%	80 91	63.64%	49 77
Retention Rate	58.82%	10 17	83.10	59 71	76.42	81 106

Table L – Other Reported Information

	12-Mo Retentio		12-Mont	h Earnings	Nontrad Employ		Wages a	at Entry	Training Re Employm	
Adults	86.01%	418 486	\$3,839.76	\$1,578,142 411	16.14%	51 316	\$4,306.18	\$1,244,485 289	80.48%	169 210
Dislocated Workers	91.25%	730 800	90.86%	\$8,339,576 \$9,178,862	13.82%	110 798	\$5,813.86	\$4,348,771 748	77.62%	437 563
Older Youth	91.40%	85 93	\$3,503.14	\$234,710 67	9.09%	7 77	\$2,896.89	\$205,679 71		

**Table M – Participation Levels** 

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	949	540
Dislocated Workers	1,706	911
Older Youth	304	147
Younger Youth	885	433

**Table N – Cost of Program Activities** 

	P	Program Activity	Total Federal Spending
Local Adults			\$2,736,711
Local Dislocate	ed Worke	ers	\$2,661,623
Local Youth			\$3,152,891
Rapid Respons (up to 25%) §134(a)(2)(A)	se		\$1,207,492
<b>Statewide Requ</b> (Up to 15%) §134(a)(2)(B)	uired Act	tivities	\$1,516,806
		Statewide Miscellaneous Activities (older worker pilot, LMI, additional dislocated worker services, marketing, JobLine).	\$ 285,010
Statewide Allowable Activities §134(a)(3)	Program Activity Description		
3.0 ((4)(0)	tivity De		
	gram Ac		
	Proć		
Total	of All Fe	deral Spending Listed Above	\$11,560,533

**Table O: Local Performance** 

Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Adults	354
	•	Dislocated Workers	340
ONE		Older Youth	115
		Younger Youth	302
ETA Assigned #		Adults	215
	Total Evitara	Dislocated Workers	167
16040	Total Exiters	Older Youth	42
		Younger Youth	153

		Negotiate Performance		Perfo	Actual rmance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	80			85.2	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	78			88.4	
	Adults	76%			85%	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers	85%			93%	
	Older Youth	68%			82%	
	Adults	84%			88%	
Retention Rate	Dislocated Workers	90%			91%	
Note in the interest of the in	Older Youth	80%		91%		
	Younger Youth	60%			75%	
Earnings Change/Earnings	Adults	\$3,475		\$3,361		
Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	88%	88%		94%	
	Older Youth	\$3,000		\$1,922		
	Adults	55%		65%		
Credential/ Diploma Rate	Dislocated Workers	55%		69%		
orodonnas Dipioma ridio	Older Youth	43%			45%	
	Younger Youth	60%		67%		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	82%			93%	
Skill Description of Other St	ate Indicators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Perf	ormance	Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded	
			Х			

**Table O: Local Performance** 

Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Adults	87
	'	Dislocated Workers	107
TWO		Older Youth	34
		Younger Youth	66
ETA Assigned #	TA Assigned #		48
	Total Fuitana	Dislocated Workers	82
16045	Total Exiters	Older Youth	20
		Younger Youth	42

		Negotiate Performance			ctual ance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	80		8	3.4	
Justomer Satisfaction	Employers	78		8	6.8	
	Adults	76%		9	1%	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers	85%		9	5%	
	Older Youth	68%		8	6%	
	Adults	84%		9	2%	
Retention Rate	Dislocated Workers	90%		9	2%	
Neterition Nate	Older Youth	80%		87%		
	Younger Youth	60%		8	8%	
Earnings Change/Earnings	Adults	\$3,475		\$2,389		
Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	88%	88%		92%	
	Older Youth	\$3,000	\$3,000		2,172	
	Adults	55%		7	'8%	
Credential/ Diploma Rate	Dislocated Workers	55%		86%		
orodonia pipioma rato	Older Youth	43%		64%		
	Younger Youth	60%		90%		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	82%		9	7%	
Skill Description of Other St	ate Indicators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Perf	ormance	Not Met	Met	E	Exceeded	
 			Х			

**Table O: Local Performance** 

Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Adults	262
	•	Dislocated Workers	808
THREE		Older Youth	71
		Younger Youth	233
ETA Assigned #		Adults	163
40045	Total Fuitana	Dislocated Workers	462
16015	Total Exiters	Older Youth	52
		Younger Youth	140

		Negotiate Performance		Actual Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	80		82.9	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	78		77.3	
	Adults	76%		90%	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers	85%		93%	
	Older Youth	68%		87%	
	Adults	84%		86%	
Retention Rate	Dislocated Workers	90%		96%	
Note Intoli Nate	Older Youth	80%		88%	
	Younger Youth	60%		79%	
Farnings Change/Farnings	Adults	\$3,475		\$4,340	
Earnings Change/Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	88%		78%	
	Older Youth	\$3,000		\$2,652	
	Adults	55%		81%	
Credential/ Diploma Rate	Dislocated Workers	55%		75%	
oreachia, Diploma Nate	Older Youth	43%		65%	
	Younger Youth	60%		82%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	82%		93%	
Skill Description of Other St	ate Indicators of Performance				
Overall Status of Local Perf	ormance	Not Met	Met	Exceeded	
- 10. a.i. otatao o. 200a i oii				Х	

**Table O: Local Performance** 

Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Adults	92
		Dislocated Workers	130
FOUR		Older Youth	29
		Younger Youth	128
ETA Assigned #		Adults	44
	Total Fuitana	Dislocated Workers	64
16050	Total Exiters	Older Youth	7
		Younger Youth	22

		Negotiate Performance	ed Level	Act Performa		
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	80		90.3		
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	78		82	1	
	Adults	76%		100	0%	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers	85%		95	%	
	Older Youth	68%		100	)%	
	Adults	84%	84%		%	
Retention Rate	Dislocated Workers	90%		86	%	
Retermon Rate	Older Youth	80%		100%		
	Younger Youth	60%		90%		
Earnings Change/Earnings	Adults	\$3,475	\$3,475		\$6,071	
Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	88%	88%		93%	
	Older Youth	\$3,000	\$3,000		\$7,340	
	Adults	55%		69%		
Credential/ Diploma Rate	Dislocated Workers	55%		67%		
Diploma Nate	Older Youth	43%		83%		
	Younger Youth	60%		92%		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	82%		100	)%	
Skill Description of Other St	ate Indicators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Perf	ormance	Not Met	Met	: Ex	ceeded	
					Х	

**Table O: Local Performance** 

Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Adults	72
		Dislocated Workers	231
FIVE		Older Youth	34
		Younger Youth	80
ETA Assigned #		Adults	33
40055	Total Fuitana	Dislocated Workers	100
16055	Total Exiters	Older Youth	17
		Younger Youth	43

		Negotiate Performance		Perfo	Actual rmance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	80		82.7		
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	78			NA	
	Adults	76%			92%	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers	85%			93%	
	Older Youth	68%			100%	
	Adults	84%			82%	
Retention Rate	Dislocated Workers	90%			98%	
Tretemien rate	Older Youth	80%	80%		100%	
	Younger Youth	60%		82%		
Earnings Change/Earnings	Adults	\$3,475	\$3,475		\$3,162	
Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	88%		88%		
	Older Youth	\$3,000		\$4,896		
	Adults	55%		73%		
Credential/ Diploma Rate	Dislocated Workers	55%		65%		
Diodomiai, Dipioma Nato	Older Youth	43%		50%		
	Younger Youth	60%		91%		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	82%			96%	
Skill Description of Other St	ate Indicators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Perf	ormance	Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
					Х	

**Table O: Local Performance** 

Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Adults	82
	•	Dislocated Workers	90
SIX		Older Youth	21
		Younger Youth	76
ETA Assigned #		Adults	37
	Total Fuitana	Dislocated Workers	36
16060	Total Exiters	Older Youth	9
		Younger Youth	33

		Negotiate Performance			Actual mance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	80			86.8	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	78			NA	
	Adults	76%			93%	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers	85%			87%	
	Older Youth	68%			100%	
	Adults	84%			97%	
Retention Rate	Dislocated Workers	90%			94%	
Retembli Rate	Older Youth	80%		89%		
	Younger Youth	60%		81%		
Earnings Change/Earnings	Adults	\$3,475		\$5,072		
Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	88%	88%		86%	
	Older Youth	\$3,000		\$2,033		
	Adults	55%		78%		
Credential/ Diploma Rate	Dislocated Workers	55%		79%		
Diploma Nate	Older Youth	43%			33%	
	Younger Youth	60%		84%		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	82%			91%	
Skill Description of Other St	ate Indicators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Perf	ormance	Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded	
			Х			

State Name: ID Program Year: 2003

Table A: **Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results** 

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance - Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	80	85.2	1,474	1,942	1,942	75.9
Employers	78	85.5	25	30	30	83.3

#### Table B: **Adult Program Results At-A-Glan**

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level		
Fatour d Familia amount Bota	76	89.3	316	
Entered Employment Rate			354	
	84	88.9	441	
Employment Ratention Rate			496	
Familiana Ohananin Oin Mandh	3,475	3,968	1,733,867	
Earnings Change in Six Month	-, -		437	
Employment and Credential Rate		70.4	297	
	55	72.4	410	

Table C: Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information		ance Recipients ensive or Training	V	eterans	Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals			
Entered		18		27		41		35		
Employment Rate	81.8	22	90	30	83.7	49	85.4	41		
Employment Retention		20		37		56	56	56		32
Rate	74.1	27	82.2	45	83.6	67	80	40		
Earnings Change in Six Months	4.070	97,864	200	35,212	2,275	127,423	4 445	53,464		
	4,078	24	880	40		56	1,445	37		
Employment	65	13	88.9	24	GE O	29	63	17		
and Credential Rate	00	20	00.9	27	65.9	44	63	27		

Table D: Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information		als Who Received ning Services	Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Date	89.9	240	87.4	76	
Entered Employment Rate	09.9	267	67.4	87	
E. J. W. B. C. B.	90.6	352	86.4	89	
Employment Retention Rate	89.6	393	00.4	103	
Earnings Change in Six Months	4.405	1,559,715	4 005	174,152	
	4,495	347	1,935	90	

Table E: **Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance** 

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Per	formance Level
Entered Employment Rate	85	93.1	815
			875
Employment Detention Date	90	93.5	774
Employment Retention Rate			828
Fornings Depleasment in Six Months	88	85.4	8,364,347
Earnings Replacement in Six Months			9,790,496
	55	73.2	484
Employment and Credential Rate		73.2	661

**Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations** Table F:

Reported Information	Vet	erans	Individuals	With Disabilities	Olde	er Individuals	Displace	d Homemakers
Entered Employment	90.2	120	92.2	47	83.7	82		26
Rate		133	V=	51		98	81.3	32
Employment Retention		112		49		66	72 84.8	28
Rate	91.1	123	96.1	51	91.7	72		33
Earnings Replacement		1,244,228		391,332		593,476		212,428
Rate	87.3	1,424,765	81.9	478,031	73.7	805,014	330.1	64,358
Employmemt And		69		22	70.1	47	60.9	14
Credential Rate	74.2	93	64.7	34		67		23

Table G: Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Re	eceived Training Services	Individuals Who Receive	ed Core and Intensive Services
Entered Employment Rate		618		197
	93.5	661	92.1	214
Employment Retention Rate		550		224
Employment Netention Nate	93.9	586	92.6	242
Earnings Replacement Rate	85.2	5,942,592	86.1	2,421,755
	03.2	6,977,022	00.1	2,813,474

Table H: Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Entand Employment Data	co	00 E	77
Entered Employment Rate	68	88.5	87
Envelopment Betanting Beta	90	00.5	86
Employment Retention Rate	80	90.5	95
Earnings Change in Six Months	3,000	2,881	221,838
	·	·	77
	43	56.4	79
Credential Rate			140

Table I: Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assis	istance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		School Youth
Entered Employment		1	_	0		12		54
Rate	100	1	0	1	85.7	14	80.6 2 87.7 5 2,097 6 53.6	67
Employment Retention		5	_	0		12		64
Rate	100	5	0	1	100	12	12 87.7	73
Earnings Change in		15,156	_	0		15,205		123,727
Six Months	5,052	3	0	1	1,689	9	2,097	59
		1	_	0		9	53.6	59
Credential Rate	100	1	0	1	45	20		110

Table J: Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Skill Attainment Rate	92	04.5	688
	82	94.5	728
Dinlows or Equivalent Attainment Data	60	70.2	211
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate		79.3	266
Potentian Pote	60	70.0	210
Retention Rate		79.8	263

 Table K:
 Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Ass	istance Recipients	Individ	uals Disabilities	Out-c	of-School Youth
Skill Attainment	/	49		251		166
Rate	96.1	51	96.2	261	90.7	183
Diploma or Equivalent	ralent	12		80		49
Attainment Rate	70.6	17	87.9	91	63.6	77
	58.8	10		59		81
Retention Rate	33.5	17	83.1	71	76.4	106

Table L: Other Reported Information

	Emplo	lonth pyment on Rate	12 Mo. Ear (Adults and C O 12 Mo. Ear Replaceme (Dislocated V	r nings ent	Parti Non	ements for icipants in traditional ployment	Emplo Those In Entered Uns	At Entry Into oyment For dividuals Who Employment ubsidized ployment	Employm the Traini Those W	Unsubsidized ent Related to ng Received of ho Completed ng Services
		418		1,578,142		51		1,244,485		169
Adults	86	486	3,840	411	16.1	316	4,306	289	80.5	210
Dislocated		730		8,339,576		110		4,348,771		437
Workers	Workers 91.3		9,178,862	13.8	796	5,814	748	77.6	563	
Older	91.4	85		234,710		7		205,679		,
Youth	31.4	93	3,503	67	9.1	77	2,897	71		

Table M: Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	949	540
Dislocated Workers	1,706	911
Older Youth	304	147
Younger Youth	885	433

Table N: Cost of Program Activities

		Program Activity	Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	s		\$2,736,711.00
Local Dislo	cated	Workers	\$2,661,623.00
Local Youth	1		\$3,152,891.00
Rapid Resp	onse	(up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)	\$1,207,492.00
Statewide R	equi	red Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)	\$1,516,806.00
Statewide Allowable Activities 134 (a) (3)	Program Activity Description	Statewide Misc. Activities (Older Worker, Marketing, Dislocated Worker)	\$285,010.00
		Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$11,560,533.00

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	82
East-Central Idaho WIB Area 6	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	90
	Served	Older Youth	21
		Younger Youth	76
		Adults	37
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	36
		Older Youth	9
		Younger Youth	33

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		80		86.8
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		78		0
	Adults		76		93.1
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		85		87
	Older Youth		68		100
	Adults		84		97
D. G. Maria	Dislocated Workers		90		94
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		89
	Younger Youth		60		81
	Adults(\$)		3,475		5,072
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		88		86
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		2,033
	Adults		55		78
	Dislocated Workers		55		79
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		43		33
	Younger Youth		84 90 80 60 3,475 88 3,000 55 55 43 60 82	84	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		82		91
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	Not Met	Met		Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perior	IIIaIIC <del>C</del>		Yes		

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	87
North Central Idaho Works Board Area 2	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	107
	Served	Older Youth	34
		Younger Youth	66
	Total Exiters	Adults	48
		Dislocated Workers	82
		Older Youth	20
		Younger Youth	42

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	l Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		80		83	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		78		87	
	Adults		76		91	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		85		95	
	Older Youth		68		86	
	Adults		84		92	
Date of the Date	Dislocated Workers		90		92	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		87	
	Younger Youth		60		88	
	Adults(\$)		3,475		2,389	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		88		92	
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		2,172	
	Adults		55		78	
	Dislocated Workers		55		86	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		43		64	
	Younger Youth		60		90	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		82	32 97		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	Not Met	Ме	t	Exceeded	
Oronan Otatas of Local i Gilor			Yes			

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: North Idaho Workforce Investment Board Area 1		Adults	354
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	340
	Served	Older Youth	115
		Younger Youth	302
	Total Exiters	Adults	215
То		Dislocated Workers	167
		Older Youth	42
		Younger Youth	153

		Negotiated Perfori Level	mance	Actua	l Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		80		85	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		78		88	
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		76		85	
	Dislocated Workers		85		93	
	Older Youth		68		82	
	Adults		84		88	
<b>.</b>	Dislocated Workers		90		91	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		91	
	Younger Youth		60		75	
	Adults(\$)		3,475		3,361	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		88		94	
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		1,922	
	Adults		55		65	
	Dislocated Workers		55		69	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		43		45	
	Younger Youth		60		67	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		82	93		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
Outstall Otation of Local Burgary		Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance				Yes	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: South Central Idaho Works! Area 4		Adults	92
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	130
	Served	Older Youth	29
		Younger Youth	128
	Total Exiters	Adults	44
		Dislocated Workers	64
		Older Youth	7
		Younger Youth	22

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		80		90
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		78		82
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		76	,	
	Dislocated Workers		85		95
	Older Youth		68		100
	Adults		84		95
But attended by	Dislocated Workers		90		86
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		100
	Younger Youth		60		90
	Adults(\$)		3,475		6,071
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		88		93
Replacement in old months	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		7,340
	Adults		55		69
	Dislocated Workers		55		67
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		43		83
	Younger Youth		60		92
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		82	82 10	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
Occasil Otation of Local Burgary		Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance				Yes

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: Southeast Idaho Works Board Area 5		Adults	72
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	231
	Served	Older Youth	34
		Younger Youth	80
	Total Exiters	Adults	33
		Dislocated Workers	100
		Older Youth	17
		Younger Youth	43

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		80		83	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		78		0	
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		76	76		
	Dislocated Workers		85		93	
	Older Youth		68		100	
	Adults		84		82	
	Dislocated Workers		90		98	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		100	
	Younger Youth		60		82	
	Adults(\$)		3,475		3,162	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		88		88	
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		4,896	
	Adults		55		73	
	Dislocated Workers		55		65	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		43		50	
	Younger Youth		60		91	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		82	82		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
Owner   Otation of Land   Barfar		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance				Yes	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: Worksource Workforce Investment Board Area 3		Adults	262
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	808
	Served	Older Youth	71
		Younger Youth	233
		Adults	163
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	462
		Older Youth	52
		Younger Youth	140

		Negotiated Perforr Level	nance	Actual Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		80	83
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		78	
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		76	90
	Dislocated Workers		85	
	Older Youth		68	87
	Adults		84	86
<b>5</b>	Dislocated Workers		90	96
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80	88
	Younger Youth		60	79
	Adults(\$)		3,475	4,340
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		88	78
replacement in oix months	Older Youth (\$)		3,000	2,652
	Adults		55	81
On Lord I/Distance Box	Dislocated Workers		55	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		43	
	Younger Youth		60	82
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		82	93
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance			
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance			Yes